

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/204,585	12/03/1998	MARC TREMBLAY	SP-3288-US	5684
	590 10/18/2002			
25 METRO DR	MORRILL LLP		EXAMI	NER
SUITE 700 SAN JOSE, CA	95110		ENG, DAVID Y	
, cr	. 75110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	19
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2002	τ (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/204,585	TREMBLAY ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		DAVID Y. ENG	2155
Per	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap od for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTAGE, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{06}$	August 2002 .	
2	a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice undeposition of Claims		
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application	20	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii iioiii consideration.	
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.		
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement	
	lication Papers	or election requirement.	
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
1	D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 1998</u> is/	are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ob	pjected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
1	I)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
1	2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Pric	rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
- 1	B) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	oplication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	
14	) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•	
1	<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language point</li> <li>i)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>		
	hment(s)	stic priority under 35 0.5.C.	99 120 and/or 121.
1) [	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)  .

Application/Control Number: 09/204,585

Art Unit: 2155

The specification fails to disclose what 602 is in Figure 6.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(o) because they fail to label all rectangular boxes with meaningful legends. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The active calims are 1-28.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3-14 and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yung (5,592,679) in view of Luan (5,911,149).

Claims 2 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yung (5,592,679) and Luan (5,911,149) further in view of Nashimoto (6,023,575).

P19/L16 Details of the rejections have already been set forth in the last Office action. The details
-1'24 Pare/incorporated herein by reference thereto.

Applicants are requested to identify the components in the drawings which render the register file partitionable and the segments programmable and to identify the description of the components in the specificaiton. See 37CFR 1.83(a).

In the communication filed on August 6, 2002, Applicants contended that the Examiner provides no specific cite to a portion of Laun other than a general referenct to the Abstract and Summary. On the contrary, the Examiner clearly pointed out the specific area in Laun upon

Application/Control Number: 09/204,585 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

which the rejection is relied upon. Abstract and Summary of the Laun reference are part of the disclosure of the invention in the specification of the Laun patent. The Examiner does not aware of any rules which prohibit the Examiner to rely on the Abstract and the Summary of a patent. Applicants further request the Examiner to point out the portions of Luan upon which the Examiner belives elements of the claims read. Note that Applicants' claims merely recite a register file. The register file is recited in a desired functional statement that the register file is partitionable and programmable. A register file by itself obviously is not partitionable and programmable. There is no element recited in the claims to render the register file partitionable and programmable. The Yung reference is cited for the showing of a plurality of functional units and a plurality of local registers and global registers. The Laun reference is cited to show that the local registers and the global registers in Yung can be implemented by a partitionable and programmable register file. As far as the rejections of the claims are concern, the mere fact that a register file is partitionable and programmable is sufficient.

In response to applicant's argument that the Yung reference and the Laun reference are not combinable, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Application/Control Number: 09/204,585 Page 4

Art Unit: 2155

Applicants further contended that none of the references teach partitioning of a register file. Partitionable is inherent in programmable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

DAVID Y. ENG PRIMARY EXAMINER